

GRANT PROGRAMS FISCAL YEAR 2010

INTRODUCTION

This section of the budget document summarizes the County's proposed appropriation authority for grant programs for FY 2010. The appropriation authority provided in this section is representative of each agency's grant development plans for the upcoming fiscal year. Many of these grants support the agency's core responsibilities and are representative of agency efforts to provide expanded and/or increased services to Prince George's County citizens and residents. Total program spending reflects the expenditure plans and programmatic operations of the agency.

In FY 2010, the anticipated grant revenues are \$179.2 million, a decrease of \$14,912,100 or 7.7% decrease from the FY 2009 approved grant program fund. Human service agencies continue to administer the majority of the grants awarded to the County. County cash resources supplementing outside grant revenues total \$2.5 million. These funds are included in the administering agency's General Fund appropriation and are required as a condition of award acceptance. The FY 2010 total program spending level of \$181.7 million reflects outside sources e.g., Federal, State or foundation dollars, and the county cash match. In-kind contributions are not included in the total program spending.

The following pages reflect the consolidated summary of county anticipated grant revenues and associated cash match obligations for FY 2010. Moreover, a detailed listing is included of the various fund sources and total projected grant spending for each program.

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
GENERAL GOVERNMENT							
HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION (03)							
EEOC Worksharing Agreement	10/01-9/30	\$ 73,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 73,400	\$ -	\$ 73,400
HUMAN RELATIONS FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 73,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 73,400	\$ -	\$ 73,400
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS (13)							
MACRO-Community Mediation	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000
CRIMINAL/CIVIL JUSTICE							
CIRCUIT COURT (05)							
Adult Drug Court-MD Problem Solving	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000
Cooperative Reimbursement Agreement	10/01-9/30	\$ -	\$ 482,900	\$ -	\$ 482,900	\$ 238,500	\$ 701,400
Family Division Legislative Initiative Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 2,470,800	\$ -	\$ 2,470,800	\$ -	\$ 2,470,800
Juvenile Drug Court-MD Problem Solving	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000
CIRCUIT COURT FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ -	\$ 3,333,700	\$ -	\$ 3,333,700	\$ 238,500	\$ 3,572,200
OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY (07)							
BYRNE-Community Prosecution Outreach Program	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 95,000	\$ -	\$ 95,000	\$ -	\$ 95,000
Foreclosure and Mortgage Fraud	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 170,000	\$ -	\$ 170,000	\$ -	\$ 170,000
Mediation and Conflict Resolution Office-MACRO	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ 45,000
Prince George's County Teen Court (C-Safe)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000
Stop the Violence Against Women	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 105,000	\$ -	\$ 105,000	\$ -	\$ 105,000
Vehicle Theft Prevention Program	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 220,000	\$ -	\$ 220,000	\$ -	\$ 220,000
Victim Advocate Coordinator-MVOC	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$ 35,000
Victims of Crime Assistance (Bilingual Advocate)	10/01-9/30	\$ -	\$ 65,000	\$ -	\$ 65,000	\$ -	\$ 65,000
OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ -	\$ 880,000	\$ -	\$ 880,000	\$ -	\$ 880,000
OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF (65)							
C-Safe (formerly Hotspots)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 16,500	\$ -	\$ 16,500	\$ -	\$ 16,500
Cooperative Reimbursement (Child Support Enforcement)	10/01-9/30	\$ 1,505,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,505,200	\$ 760,000	\$ 2,265,200
Domestic Violence Intake Advocacy Project	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 54,500	\$ -	\$ 54,500	\$ -	\$ 54,500
Domestic Violence Coordinator	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 24,000	\$ -	\$ 24,000	\$ -	\$ 24,000
OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 1,505,200	\$ 95,000	\$ -	\$ 1,600,200	\$ 760,000	\$ 2,360,200
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS (56)							
Community Service Program Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 108,500	\$ 128,700	\$ 237,200	\$ -	\$ 237,200
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Grant (HIDTA Impact)	01/01-12/31	\$ -	\$ 0	\$ 302,900	\$ 302,900	\$ -	\$ 302,900
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ -	\$ 108,500	\$ 431,600	\$ 540,100	\$ -	\$ 540,100
PUBLIC WORKS & ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES							
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & TRANSPORTATION (66)							
Local Bus Replacement Program	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 620,000	\$ -	\$ 620,000	\$ -	\$ 620,000
Rideshare Program (DOT)	10/01-9/30	\$ 269,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 269,100	\$ -	\$ 269,100
Section 5309 Capital Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ 300,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300,000	\$ 134,000	\$ 434,000

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
Statewide Specialized Transportation Assistance Program (SSTAP)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 332,800	\$ -	\$ 332,800	\$ 16,700	\$ 349,500
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & TRANSPORTATION FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 589,100	\$ 952,800	\$ -	\$ 1,521,900	\$ 150,700	\$ 1,672,600
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES (54)							
Anecostia River Tidal Wetland Study	10/01-9/30	\$ 985,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 985,200	\$ 14,800	\$ 1,000,000
Cooperative Technical Partners	10/01-9/30	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
Hazard Mitigation Plan (Flood Plain Audit)	10/01-9/30	\$ 270,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 270,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 360,000
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 319 WIS Program	10/01-9/30	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 250,000
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 1,480,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,480,200	\$ 204,800	\$ 1,685,000
PUBLIC SAFETY							
POLICE DEPARTMENT (50)							
Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms Arson and Explosives Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 10,000
Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms Regional Area Gang Enforcement (RAGE) Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000
Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms Violent Crime (Exile) Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 15,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	\$ -	\$ 15,000
Buffer Zone Protection Program (FFY09)	10/01-9/30	\$ 190,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 190,000	\$ -	\$ 190,000
Bulletproof Vest Partnership	07/01-6/30	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000
BYRNE-Justice Assistance Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ 956,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 956,500	\$ -	\$ 956,500
Commercial Vehicle Inspection Program	10/01-9/30	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000
Congressional Appropriation (Earmark)	10/01-9/30	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000
Cross Border Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 63,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 63,500	\$ -	\$ 63,500
C-SAFE (formerly HotSpots)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000
DEA/HIDTA Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 77,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 77,000	\$ -	\$ 77,000
DNA Backlog Reduction	10/01-9/30	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000
FBI Joint Terrorism Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ 40,000
FBI Safe Street Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000
Justice Assistance Grant - 2009	10/01-9/30	\$ 782,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 782,000	\$ -	\$ 782,000
Metro Area Fraud Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ 40,000
NIJ Forensic DNA Capacity Enhancement	10/01-9/30	\$ 169,700	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 169,700	\$ -	\$ 169,700
NIJ Forensic Casework DNA Backlog Reduction	10/01-9/30	\$ 428,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 428,000	\$ -	\$ 428,000
Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task	10/01-9/30	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ 60,000
R.A.F.I.S. Backlog Reduction	10/01-9/30	\$ 18,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,500	\$ -	\$ 18,500
School Bus Safety	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$ 35,000
SOCEM Initiative	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 106,000	\$ -	\$ 106,000	\$ -	\$ 106,000
U.S. Customs Electronic Crimes Task Force (WMEC Task Force)	10/01-9/30	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000
U.S. Customs Task Force	10/01-9/30	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ 30,000
U.S. Customs/HIDTA/Money Laundering	10/01-9/30	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 10,000
Unanticipated Grants	07/01-6/30	\$ 250,000	\$ 197,000	\$ -	\$ 447,000	\$ 53,000	\$ 500,000
Vehicle Theft Prevention	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 355,000	\$ -	\$ 355,000	\$ -	\$ 355,000
Violent Crime Control & Prevention	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 2,429,500	\$ -	\$ 2,429,500	\$ -	\$ 2,429,500
POLICE DEPARTMENT FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 3,837,200	\$ 3,192,500	\$ -	\$ 7,029,700	\$ 53,000	\$ 7,082,700

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
FIRE/EMS DEPARTMENT (51)							
DNR Waterway Improvement Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 105,000
MDE-LEPC	02/01-1/31	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 10,000
MEMA Hazardous Materials Emergency Planning	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ 1,200	\$ 7,200
MIEMSS Highway Safety Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 20,000
MIEMSS Matching Equipment Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 22,000	\$ -	\$ 22,000	\$ 22,000	\$ 44,000
MIEMSS Training Reimbursement/ALS	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 33,000	\$ -	\$ 33,000	\$ -	\$ 33,000
USDHS-FEMA Assistance to Firefighters	10/01-9/30	\$ 80,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 96,000
USDHS-FEMA Assistance to Firefighters	10/01-9/30	\$ 360,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 360,000	\$ 28,900	\$ 388,900
FIRE/EMS FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 440,000	\$ 131,000	\$ -	\$ 571,000	\$ 133,100	\$ 704,100
VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT (52)							
Senator William H. Amoss Fire, Rescue and Ambulance (State 508) Fund	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 1,125,000	\$ -	\$ 1,125,000	\$ -	\$ 1,125,000
VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ -	\$ 1,125,000	\$ -	\$ 1,125,000	\$ -	\$ 1,125,000
OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY (57)							
Citizen Corp Mini-grant	07/01-6/30	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ 1,000
State Homeland Security Grant (MEMA)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 1,288,900	\$ -	\$ 1,288,900	\$ -	\$ 1,288,900
UASI-Exercise and Training Officer	10/01-9/30	\$ 125,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000
UASI-Integration of EOCs and ECCs	10/01-9/30	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000
UASI-National Incident Management Systems NIMS Compliance Officer	10/01-9/30	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000
UASI-Regional Planner	10/01-9/30	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000
OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 2,251,000	\$ 1,288,900	\$ -	\$ 3,539,900	\$ -	\$ 3,539,900
HUMAN SERVICES							
DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES (37)							
<u>Administration on Aging</u>							
Curb Abuse Medicare/Medicaid (CAMM)	07/01-6/30	\$ 11,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,600	\$ -	\$ 11,600
Evidenced Based Disease Prevention	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ 25,000
Foster Grandparent Program	01/01-12/31	\$ 247,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 247,600	\$ 57,700	\$ 305,300
Maryland Access Point (MAP)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 80,000	\$ -	\$ 80,000	\$ -	\$ 80,000
Medicaid Waiver	07/01-6/30	\$ 153,100	\$ 153,100	\$ -	\$ 306,200	\$ -	\$ 306,200
Money Follows the Person (MFP)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ 60,000	\$ -	\$ 60,000
Nursing Home Diversion	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ 45,000	\$ -	\$ 45,000
Ombudsman Initiative	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 121,900	\$ -	\$ 121,900	\$ 14,900	\$ 136,800
Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP)	07/01-6/30	\$ 80,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,800	\$ 27,100	\$ 107,900
Senior Assisted Housing	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 596,500	\$ -	\$ 596,500	\$ -	\$ 596,500
Senior Center (Operating Funds)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 23,000	\$ -	\$ 23,000	\$ -	\$ 23,000
Senior Health Insurance Program	07/01-6/30	\$ 20,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,800	\$ 11,800	\$ 32,600
Senior Information and Assistance	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 59,700	\$ -	\$ 59,700	\$ -	\$ 59,700
Senior Training and Employment	07/01-6/30	\$ 511,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 511,600	\$ -	\$ 511,600
State Guardianship	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,700	\$ 50,700	\$ 52,600	\$ 103,300
Title IIIB: Area Agency on Aging	10/01-9/30	\$ 615,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 615,000	\$ 199,500	\$ 814,500

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
Title III-C-1: Nutrition for the Elderly Congregate Meals	10/01-9/30	\$ 640,600	\$ 103,900	\$ 169,900	\$ 914,400	\$ 22,400	\$ 936,800
Title III-C-2: Nutrition for the Elderly Home Delivered Meals	10/01-9/30	\$ 381,300	\$ 58,000	\$ 9,700	\$ 449,000	\$ 64,500	\$ 513,500
Title III-D: Health Promotion (Senior)	10/01-9/30	\$ 28,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,100	\$ 2,800	\$ 30,900
Title III-E: Caregiving	10/01-9/30	\$ 316,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 316,900	\$ -	\$ 316,900
Vulnerable Elderly		\$ -	\$ 45,600	\$ -	\$ 45,600	\$ 32,200	\$ 77,800
Administration on Aging Division Total		\$ 3,007,400	\$ 1,371,700	\$ 230,300	\$ 4,609,400	\$ 485,500	\$ 5,094,900
<u>Children, Youth and Families Division</u>							
Afterschool Program	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 537,100	\$ -	\$ 537,100	\$ -	\$ 537,100
Boys Reading Club	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 7,500	\$ -	\$ 7,500	\$ -	\$ 7,500
DMC Grant Coordinator-GOCCP	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 120,700	\$ -	\$ 120,700	\$ -	\$ 120,700
Functional Family Therapy	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000
Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 400,000	\$ -	\$ 400,000	\$ -	\$ 400,000
Home Visitation-Healthy Families (MSDE)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 180,900	\$ -	\$ 180,900	\$ -	\$ 180,900
Kinship Care	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 214,500	\$ -	\$ 214,500	\$ -	\$ 214,500
Kinship Care-DSS	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000
LMB Community Education-Community Forums	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000
Local Access Mechanism (LAM)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ -	\$ 125,000
Multi-Systemic Therapies-DJS	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 104,000	\$ -	\$ 104,000	\$ -	\$ 104,000
Multi-Systemic Therapies-Youth Strategies	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 197,000	\$ -	\$ 197,000	\$ -	\$ 197,000
Program Administration	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 870,800	\$ -	\$ 870,800	\$ -	\$ 870,800
Program Development	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 880,900	\$ -	\$ 880,900	\$ -	\$ 880,900
Quality of Care Conference	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 7,000	\$ -	\$ 7,000	\$ -	\$ 7,000
Rehab Option	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 652,000	\$ -	\$ 652,000	\$ -	\$ 652,000
Return Diversion (Community Service Initiative)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 775,000	\$ -	\$ 775,000	\$ -	\$ 775,000
School Based Health Centers	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 427,500	\$ -	\$ 427,500	\$ -	\$ 427,500
Systems of Care (LCC)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 150,000
Youth Service Bureau	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 424,500	\$ -	\$ 424,500	\$ -	\$ 424,500
Children, Youth and Families Subtotal		\$ -	\$ 6,474,400	\$ -	\$ 6,474,400	\$ -	\$ 6,474,400
<u>Mental Health and Disabilities Administration</u>							
Administrative Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 854,300	\$ -	\$ 854,300	\$ -	\$ 854,300
Crownsville Project	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 74,300	\$ -	\$ 74,300	\$ -	\$ 74,300
Federal Block Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ 1,380,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,380,900	\$ -	\$ 1,380,900
Mental Health Services Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 2,161,400	\$ -	\$ 2,161,400	\$ -	\$ 2,161,400
PATH Program	07/01-6/30	\$ 62,900	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 62,900	\$ 0	\$ 62,900
Shelter Plus Care	07/01-6/30	\$ 498,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 498,300	\$ -	\$ 498,300
Mental Health and Disabilities Administration Total		\$ 1,922,100	\$ 3,090,000	\$ -	\$ 5,012,100	\$ -	\$ 5,012,100
DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES							
FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 4,929,500	\$ 10,936,100	\$ 230,300	\$ 16,095,900	\$ 485,500	\$ 16,581,400
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES (93)							
<i>Family Investment Division</i>							
Customer Incentive	10/01-9/30	\$ 380,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 380,500	\$ -	\$ 380,500
FIP Demonstration Project	07/01-6/30	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000
FSET/ABAWD	10/01-9/30	\$ 183,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 183,500	\$ -	\$ 183,500
Fresh Start Project	10/01-9/30	\$ 140,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 140,800	\$ -	\$ 140,800

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
Minor Grants	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ 30,000
Non-Custodial Program	10/01-9/30	\$ 460,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 460,000	\$ -	\$ 460,000
Welfare Reform-Work Opportunities	07/01-6/30	\$ 8,350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,350,000	\$ -	\$ 8,350,000
Family Investment Division Total		\$ 9,714,800	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 9,744,800	\$ -	\$ 9,744,800
<u>Community Services Division</u>							
Advancing Toward Ownership	10/01-9/30	\$ 374,900	\$ -	\$ 195,200	\$ 570,100	\$ -	\$ 570,100
Child and Adult Food Care Program	10/01-9/30	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
Emergency and Transitional Housing Services	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 375,000	\$ -	\$ 375,000	\$ -	\$ 375,000
Emergency Food and Shelter (FEMA)	10/01-9/30	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000
Emergency Food Assistance (TEFAP)	10/01-9/30	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
Maryland Emergency Food Program	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ 6,000
Office of Home Energy Programs (MEAP & EUSP)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 700,000	\$ -	\$ 700,000	\$ -	\$ 700,000
Permanent Housing Program	07/01-6/30	\$ 373,300	\$ -	\$ 212,700	\$ 586,000	\$ -	\$ 586,000
Rapid Re-Housing Initiative	07/01-6/30	\$ 632,200	\$ -	\$ 47,400	\$ 679,600	\$ -	\$ 679,600
Service Linked Housing	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 210,000	\$ -	\$ 210,000	\$ -	\$ 210,000
State Homelessness Prevention	07/01-6/30	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ 12,000
Summer Food Program	10/01-9/30	\$ 1,001,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,001,800	\$ -	\$ 1,001,800
Transitional Center for Men (Prince George's House)	10/01-9/30	\$ 116,200	\$ -	\$ 28,300	\$ 144,500	\$ -	\$ 144,500
Transitional Housing-ATOP	01/01-12/31	\$ 887,900	\$ -	\$ 345,800	\$ 1,233,700	\$ -	\$ 1,233,700
Women's Services	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 175,000	\$ -	\$ 175,000	\$ -	\$ 175,000
Community Services Division Total		\$ 3,648,300	\$ 1,616,000	\$ 829,400	\$ 6,093,700	\$ -	\$ 6,093,700
<u>Child and Adult Welfare Division</u>							
Child Advocacy Center Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Child Protective Services Resolution Plan	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 352,900	\$ -	\$ 352,900	\$ -	\$ 352,900
Interagency Family Preservation	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 1,100,000	\$ -	\$ 1,100,000	\$ -	\$ 1,100,000
Family Finding/Family Connections	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
Child and Adult Welfare Division Total		\$ -	\$ 1,527,900	\$ 20,000	\$ 1,547,900	\$ -	\$ 1,547,900
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES							
FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 13,363,100	\$ 3,143,900	\$ 879,400	\$ 17,386,400	\$ -	\$ 17,386,400
HEALTH DEPARTMENT (70)							
<u>Division of Maternal and Child Health</u>							
Adam's House-Winning Fathers	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 72,000	\$ -	\$ 72,000	\$ -	\$ 72,000
Adams House-Project Fresh Start	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 259,400	\$ -	\$ 259,400	\$ -	\$ 259,400
Administrative Care Coordination Grant-Healthline (was EPSDT)	07/01-6/30	\$ 540,500	\$ 662,500	\$ -	\$ 1,203,000	\$ -	\$ 1,203,000
Babies Born Healthy	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 127,400	\$ -	\$ 127,400	\$ -	\$ 127,400
County Identified Oral Health	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Healthy Start	07/01-6/30	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000
Healthy Teens/Young Adults	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 549,500	\$ -	\$ 549,500	\$ -	\$ 549,500
High Risk Infant	07/01-6/30	\$ 117,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 117,600	\$ -	\$ 117,600
HIV Prevention Integration	10/01-9/30	\$ 156,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 156,000	\$ -	\$ 156,000
Immunization Action Project	07/01-6/30	\$ 198,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 198,900	\$ -	\$ 198,900
Improved Pregnancy Outcomes Grant	07/01-6/30	\$ 60,200	\$ 92,000	\$ -	\$ 152,200	\$ -	\$ 152,200
Improving Access to Dental Care	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 299,200	\$ -	\$ 299,200	\$ -	\$ 299,200

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
Infants and Toddlers Case Management	07/01-6/30	\$ 198,000	\$ 91,100	\$ 310,900	\$ 600,000	\$ -	\$ 600,000
Infants and Toddlers Program (Lead Agency)	07/01-6/30	\$ 721,000	\$ 450,100	\$ 293,900	\$ 1,465,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 1,665,000
Infants and Toddlers -Schools	07/01-6/30	\$ 473,900	\$ -	\$ 90,100	\$ 564,000	\$ -	\$ 564,000
Lead Paint Poisoning Outreach	07/01-6/30	\$ 57,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 57,300	\$ -	\$ 57,300
Minority Infant Health Mortality	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 200,000
Oral Health Clinical Care	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ 20,000
PWC/MKC Eligibility & Outreach (was Adolescent Program)	07/01-6/30	\$ 1,686,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,686,200	\$ -	\$ 1,686,200
Reproductive Health	07/01-6/30	\$ 243,200	\$ 345,000	\$ -	\$ 588,200	\$ -	\$ 588,200
State Reproductive Health Supply	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 58,000	\$ -	\$ 58,000	\$ -	\$ 58,000
Southern MD Perinatal Partnership	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 78,600	\$ -	\$ 78,600	\$ -	\$ 78,600
Women, Infants & Children (WIC)	07/01-6/30	\$ 2,272,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,272,300	\$ -	\$ 2,272,300
<u>Division of Maternal & Child Health Totals</u>		\$ 7,225,100	\$ 3,324,800	\$ 694,900	\$ 11,244,800	\$ 200,000	\$ 11,444,800
<u>Division of Addictions and Mental Health</u>							
Addictions (Cigarette Restitution Expansion) Project	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 3,695,300	\$ -	\$ 3,695,300	\$ -	\$ 3,695,300
Addictions Treatment	07/01-6/30	\$ 1,658,000	\$ 3,867,300	\$ 346,400	\$ 5,871,700	\$ -	\$ 5,871,700
Adolescent Substance Abuse Project-STOP	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 502,300	\$ -	\$ 502,300	\$ 105,000	\$ 607,300
Children and Parents Program	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 1,552,100	\$ 229,600	\$ 1,781,700	\$ 90,800	\$ 1,872,500
Drug and Alcohol Prevention	07/01-6/30	\$ 486,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 486,800	\$ -	\$ 486,800
Drug Court Treatment Services	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 152,000	\$ -	\$ 152,000	\$ -	\$ 152,000
House Bill 7	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 71,000	\$ -	\$ 71,000	\$ -	\$ 71,000
OASIS Youth Program	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 76,600	\$ 18,200	\$ 94,800	\$ 124,900	\$ 219,700
Operation Safe Kids	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 195,800	\$ -	\$ 195,800	\$ -	\$ 195,800
Project Safety Net	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 1,546,100	\$ -	\$ 1,546,100	\$ -	\$ 1,546,100
TCA Assessment Project	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 457,800	\$ -	\$ 457,800	\$ -	\$ 457,800
Tobacco Implementation Project	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 983,400	\$ 2,000	\$ 985,400	\$ -	\$ 985,400
<u>Division of Addictions and Mental Health Totals</u>		\$ 2,144,800	\$ 13,099,700	\$ 596,200	\$ 15,840,700	\$ 320,700	\$ 16,161,400
<u>Division of Adult & Geriatric Health</u>							
CDC Breast & Cervical Cancer	07/01-6/30	\$ 228,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 228,000	\$ -	\$ 228,000
Cancer Outreach	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 172,900	\$ -	\$ 172,900	\$ -	\$ 172,900
Colorectal Cancer (Cancer Prevention Evaluation)	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 781,300	\$ -	\$ 781,300	\$ -	\$ 781,300
General Medical Assistance Transportation	07/01-6/30	\$ 2,316,200	\$ 2,316,100	\$ -	\$ 4,632,300	\$ -	\$ 4,632,300
Nutrition/Cardiovascular Disease Reduction	07/01-6/30	\$ 59,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 59,600	\$ -	\$ 59,600
Senior Care	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 603,600	\$ -	\$ 603,600	\$ -	\$ 603,600
STEPS/AERS	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 11,200	\$ 519,000	\$ 530,200	\$ -	\$ 530,200
Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000	\$ -	\$ 70,000
<u>Division of Adult & Geriatric Health Totals</u>		\$ 2,603,800	\$ 3,885,100	\$ 589,000	\$ 7,077,900	\$ -	\$ 7,077,900
<u>Division of Epidemiology & Disease Control</u>							
Counseling, Testing & Referral (Local Prevention Initiatives)	07/01-6/30	\$ 443,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 443,200	\$ -	\$ 443,200
Expanded HIV Testing	07/01-6/30	\$ 521,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 521,900	\$ -	\$ 521,900
Health Education Risk Reduction	07/01-6/30	\$ 226,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 226,900	\$ -	\$ 226,900
Hepatitis B Prevention	07/01-6/30	\$ 65,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,500	\$ -	\$ 65,500

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
Patient Services	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 273,100	\$ -	\$ 273,100	\$ -	\$ 273,100
Pediatric AIDS		\$ 125,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 125,900	\$ -	\$ 125,900
Ryan White Title I	07/01-6/30	\$ 1,377,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,377,500	\$ -	\$ 1,377,500
Ryan White Title II	07/01-6/30	\$ 1,293,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,293,000	\$ -	\$ 1,293,000
Ryan White Minority AIDS Initiative	07/01-6/30	\$ 275,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 275,500	\$ -	\$ 275,500
STD Caseworker	07/01-6/30	\$ 326,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 326,100	\$ -	\$ 326,100
TB Cooperative Agreement	07/01-6/30	\$ 242,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 242,400	\$ -	\$ 242,400
TB Refugee	07/01-6/30	\$ 159,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 159,600	\$ -	\$ 159,600
<u>Division of Epidemiology & Disease Control Totals</u>		\$ 5,057,500	\$ 273,100	\$ -	\$ 5,330,600	\$ -	\$ 5,330,600
<u>Division of Environmental Health</u>							
Cities Readiness Initiatives (CRI)	07/01-6/30	\$ 193,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 193,400	\$ -	\$ 193,400
Lead Outreach/Education	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 18,000	\$ -	\$ 18,000	\$ -	\$ 18,000
Pandemic Influenza-Pan Flu	07/01-6/30	\$ 72,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 72,300	\$ -	\$ 72,300
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	07/01-6/30	\$ 568,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 568,600	\$ -	\$ 568,600
<u>Division of Environmental Health Totals</u>		\$ 834,300	\$ 18,000	\$ -	\$ 852,300	\$ -	\$ 852,300
<u>Office of the Health Officer</u>							
Coalition for Healthy Lifestyles	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000
Maryland Regional Gang Initiative	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ 3,000
Ryan White Title I (Care Act Part A)	3/31-2/28	\$ 5,623,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,623,300	\$ -	\$ 5,623,300
Ryan White Minority AIDS		\$ 502,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 502,800	\$ -	\$ 502,800
<u>Health Officer Totals</u>		\$ 6,126,100	\$ 53,000	\$ -	\$ 6,179,100	\$ -	\$ 6,179,100
<u>Division of Administration</u>							
Minor Grants	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ 30,000
<u>Division of Administration Totals</u>		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ 30,000
HEALTH DEPARTMENT FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 23,991,600	\$ 20,653,700	\$ 1,910,100	\$ 46,555,400	\$ 520,700	\$ 47,076,100
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (78)							
CDBG Modernization	10/01-9/30	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)*	10/01-9/30	\$ 6,700,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,700,000	\$ -	\$ 6,700,000
Conventional Housing	10/01-9/30	\$ 2,113,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,113,300	\$ -	\$ 2,113,300
Coral Gardens	10/01-9/30	\$ 96,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 96,600	\$ -	\$ 96,600
Economic Initiative Development Grant (EDI)	10/01-9/30	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$ 1,500,000
Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG)*	10/01-9/30	\$ 272,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 272,800	\$ -	\$ 272,800
HOME (Home Investment Partnership)	10/01-9/30	\$ 3,430,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,430,000	\$ -	\$ 3,430,000
Homeownership - Marcey Avenue	10/01-9/30	\$ 51,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,100	\$ -	\$ 51,100
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	10/01-9/30	\$ 2,699,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,699,100	\$ -	\$ 2,699,100
Neighborhood Stabilization Program	10/01-9/30	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000
Public Housing Modernization/Capital Fund	10/01-9/30	\$ 536,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 536,100	\$ -	\$ 536,100
Rental Allowance Program	10/01-9/30	\$ -	\$ 134,600	\$ -	\$ 134,600	\$ -	\$ 134,600
Rollingcrest Village	10/01-9/30	\$ 217,400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 217,400	\$ -	\$ 217,400

PROGRAM NAME	PROGRAM DATES	FEDERAL CASH	STATE CASH	OTHER CASH	TOTAL OUTSIDE SOURCES	COUNTY CASH	TOTAL PROGRAM SPENDING*
Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	10/01-9/30	\$ 51,776,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,776,000	\$ -	\$ 51,776,000
Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation	10/01-9/30	\$ 1,630,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,630,300	\$ -	\$ 1,630,300
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ 73,272,700	\$ 134,600	\$ -	\$ 73,407,300	\$ -	\$ 73,407,300
NOTE: * Reflect DHCD anticipated grant revenues and related programming directly administered by DHCD. Agency grant totals of \$13,902,800 and Housing Authority grant funds of \$59,504,500 total \$73,407,300. The Housing Authority totals include an itemization of \$1,300,000 for Single Family Rehab Admin-CDBG Program Admin. The \$6,700,000 in CDBG grant funds is inclusive of this \$1,300,000 allocated to Housing Authority.							
NON-DEPARTMENTAL							
Private Partnership Initiative	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000
Unanticipated Grant Awards/Interim Appropriations	07/01-6/30	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ -	\$ 3,000,000
NON-DEPARTMENTAL FY 2010 TOTALS		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000
TOTAL FY 2010 GRANTS		\$ 125,713,000	\$ 46,025,700	\$ 7,451,400	\$ 179,190,100	\$ 2,546,300	\$ 181,736,400

*Total Program Spending represents the total of County Cash and Total Outside Sources

CONSOLIDATED GRANT EXPENDITURES

PROGRAM NAME	FY 2008 ACTUAL	FY 2009 APPROVED	FY 2009 ESTIMATED	FY 2010 PROPOSED	\$ CHANGE FY09-FY10	% CHANGE FY09-FY10
<i>GENERAL GOVERNMENT</i>						
HUMAN RELATIONS TOTALS	\$ 103,718	\$ 135,400	\$ 135,400	\$ 73,400	\$ (62,000)	-45.8%
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS TOTALS	\$ 65,664	\$ 62,500	\$ 98,600	\$ 70,000	\$ 7,500	12.0%
<i>CRIMINAL/CIVIL JUSTICE</i>						
CIRCUIT COURT TOTALS	\$ 2,612,573	\$ 3,483,200	\$ 3,549,900	\$ 3,572,200	\$ 89,000	2.6%
OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY TOTALS	\$ 775,976	\$ 945,200	\$ 976,800	\$ 860,000	\$ (85,200)	-9.0%
OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF TOTALS	\$ 2,052,179	\$ 2,492,300	\$ 2,353,700	\$ 2,360,200	\$ (132,100)	-5.3%
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS TOTALS	\$ 418,639	\$ 534,900	\$ 534,900	\$ 540,100	\$ 5,200	1.0%
<i>PUBLIC WORKS & ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES</i>						
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & TRANSPORTATION TOTALS	\$ 255,565	\$ 2,024,900	\$ 2,024,900	\$ 1,672,600	\$ (352,300)	-17.4%
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES TOTALS	\$ 221,422	\$ 75,000	\$ 1,075,000	\$ 1,685,000	\$ 1,610,000	2146.7%
<i>PUBLIC SAFETY</i>						
POLICE DEPARTMENT TOTALS	\$ 3,408,956	\$ 10,326,700	\$ 6,566,200	\$ 7,082,700	\$ (3,244,000)	-31.4%
FIRE/EMS DEPARTMENT TOTALS	\$ 180,291	\$ 774,100	\$ 1,443,060	\$ 704,100	\$ (70,000)	-9.0%
VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT TOTALS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,125,000	\$ -	0.0%
OFFICE OF HOMELAND SECURITY TOTALS	\$ 1,744,532	\$ 4,729,500	\$ 4,065,500	\$ 3,539,900	\$ (1,189,600)	-25.2%
<i>HUMAN SERVICES</i>						
DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES TOTALS	\$ 13,558,946	\$ 17,933,500	\$ 17,176,200	\$ 16,581,400	\$ (1,352,100)	-7.5%
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES TOTALS	\$ 9,788,259	\$ 16,248,400	\$ 12,272,500	\$ 17,386,400	\$ 1,138,000	7.0%
HEALTH DEPARTMENT TOTALS	\$ 41,198,863	\$ 43,783,500	\$ 45,618,300	\$ 47,076,100	\$ 3,292,600	7.5%
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TOTALS**	\$ 79,300,212	\$ 88,706,100	\$ 68,539,500	\$ 73,407,300	\$ (15,298,800)	-17.2%
NON-DEPARTMENTAL TOTAL	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -	0.0%
TOTAL GRANTS	\$ 155,685,800	\$ 196,255,200	\$ 166,430,500	\$ 181,736,400	\$ (15,643,800)	-7.4%

*Total Program Spending is the total of County Cash and Total Outside Sources.

** Department of Housing and Development totals include anticipated grant revenues to be administered by the Housing Authority

*Above figures include County cash.

THE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY

AUTHORITY DESCRIPTION

The Industrial Development Authority of Prince George's County (IDA) is a public building authority through which the County can provide physical facilities on a timely and cost effective basis. The County enters into long-term leases with the IDA. Joint lease agreements between IDA and the State or other governmental entities are formed, in order to meet its continuing space needs.

The Authority was established pursuant to the Maryland Economic Development Revenue Bond Act and operates on a non-profit basis. It issues tax exempt bonds to finance public building projects approved by the County Executive and the County Council. These borrowings are secured by leases with participating public entities. The Authority now serves as a concurrent financing structure with the Prince George's County Revenue Authority shares the same Board of Directors.

AUTHORITY ACTIVITIES:

Through a cooperative agreement with the State, the IDA completed development of the expanded Prince George's Justice Center Complex. This facility, which opened in 1992, provides space for the Circuit Court and other criminal justice programs in Upper Marlboro. The Authority also provided financing for the State's new District Court facility in Hyattsville, which became operational in 1996. The IDA issued \$22.1 million of subordinate lease revenue bonds in August 2003 to finance the construction and equipping of an expansion of the original Upper Marlboro Justice Center. The expansion includes an approximately 90,000 square foot, four-story expansion to be inter-connected to the Marbury Wing of the original improvement at its west end. The expansion space will be leased to the County for use as a courthouse and multi-service center.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

		<u>FY2010 Proposed</u>
Duvall Building Debt Service	\$	-
Justice Center and Expansion Debt Service		5,839,400
Hyattsville District Court Debt Service*		815,000
Total - Debt Service	\$	6,654,400
County Contribution for Bond Administrative Expenses		44,000
TOTAL - INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	\$	6,698,400

* This represents the principal and interest owed by the State of Maryland to IDA as provided in the lease agreement.

FINANCIAL DESCRIPTION:

In addition to the County's contribution of \$44,000 for bond related administrative expenses associated with the management of the IDA, the County has provided \$5,839,400 in debt service payments. This includes payments for the Prince George's County Justice Center and expansion. The State pays a portion of debt service on the Justice Center and expansion at \$1.5 million annually.

The County has entered into a lease with the State to recover costs associated with maintenance and operations of the space occupied by State offices. Operating and maintenance costs were fixed at \$9 per square foot from FY 2000 through FY 2009 totaling \$1.1 million. This reimbursement is shown as a recovery in the section entitled Expenditure Recoveries – Leases/Utilities included in the Non-Departmental section of this document.

THE WASHINGTON SUBURBAN TRANSIT COMMISSION

The Washington Suburban Transit Commission (WSTC) is a bi-county agency that provides planning and oversight for mass transit services in Montgomery and Prince George's counties. The seven member commission is composed of two representatives from each county, two members appointed by the Governor of Maryland and the Maryland Secretary of Transportation, or a designee.

The WSTC has the legal authority to levy a property tax in each county to support mass transit services, as well as associated debt service and administrative costs. For Prince George's County, this tax levy, combined with State and Federal aid, fares and other revenues, funds a variety of regional transit services, local bus service and para-transit service. Para-transit service includes the County's special services for senior and disabled citizens. The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) provides the regional rail and bus services. The Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation and private companies provide local bus and para-transit services. Mass transit is capital intensive; therefore debt service costs also make up a substantial share of WSTC - related costs.

The WSTC tax rate for FY 2010 will remain at \$.026 per \$100 of assessed value for real property and \$.065 per \$100 of assessed value for personal property.

REVENUE AUTHORITY

MISSION AND SERVICES

Mission - The Revenue Authority promotes the public interest of Prince George's County by: facilitating economic development and employment growth, managing a self-supporting public parking program, financing County Capital Improvement Projects and owning, operating and maintaining revenue-producing facilities.

The Revenue Authority was established to streamline procurement, land acquisition and land disposition processes; to provide staff expertise in land development, economic development, and capital financing and facilities maintenance and to finance revenue bonds for government and public/private development and redevelopment partnerships.


SERVICES

- Manage, maintain and provide enforcement at three garages (New Carrollton over 1,000 spaces, Hyattsville Justice Center about 200 spaces and Upper Marlboro Courthouse about 200 spaces) and one parking lot (New Carrollton East Lot, 400 spaces). New Carrollton garage is staffed 24 hours daily and serves WMATA, MARC, and Amtrak while the Hyattsville garage serves the County Police District I, Court of Maryland, Federal Court, and the County Services Building.
- Manage five commuter lots: Laurel Fringe Lot, Bowie Fringe Lot, Ft. Washington Fringe Lot, Clinton Fringe Lot, Oxon Hill Fringe Lot.
- Maintain roughly 300 parking meters in Upper Marlboro and Mount Rainier.
- Enforce parking at approximately 20,000 spaces throughout the County.
- Manage a booting and towing program for delinquent citation payments.
- Manage ground lease on property owned at the Boulevard at the Capital Centre, a 70-acre development that includes mixed-use entertainment, premium restaurants, and retail shopping.

FY 2010 BUDGET SUMMARY

The FY 2010 proposed budget for the Revenue Authority is \$9.5 million, an increase of \$1,768,000 or 22.9%, over the FY 2009 approved budget. The budget increase is due primarily to an increase in parking violation fines collected and a transfer of \$2 million from fund balance to support the County's General Fund. The Authority plans to hire additional enforcement officers. The Revenue Authority will also continue investing resources in enforcement to support the Livable Communities Initiative.

ORGANIZATION CHART



FY 2010 OPERATING BUDGET

FY 2010 REVENUE AUTHORITY OPERATING BUDGET PLAN

REVENUE

Facilities Operating Income	\$3,617,600
Enforcement	3,752,500
Interest Income	117,000
Use of Fund Balance	2,000,000
TOTAL REVENUE	\$ 9,487,100

EXPENDITURE

Operating Expenses

Facilities Operating Expenses	\$ 2,184,500
Reserve for Maintenance and Special Projects	968,800
<i>SUBTOTAL - OPERATING EXPENSES</i>	\$ 3,153,300

Administrative Expenses

Compensation and Benefits	\$ 2,713,000
Operating Supplies and Expenses	620,800
Capital Outlay	-
<i>SUBTOTAL - ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES</i>	\$ 3,333,800

Other Expenses

Payment to the County	\$ 3,000,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	\$ 9,487,100

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

The Redevelopment Authority of Prince George's County contributes to the creation of a diverse and vibrant economy and living environment for residents of Prince George's County through the use of community building techniques. The Authority provides for responsible and responsive development and redevelopment designed to enhance quality of life, balance growth, and create jobs for diverse, sustainable communities.

The Redevelopment Authority is governed by a Board of Directors comprised of seven public and two ex-officio voting members--the County's Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Housing and Community Development. The Board establishes the Authority's mission, broad policies and operating parameters. The Board of Directors also provides the overall policy direction of the organization, approves all programs and real estate development or redevelopment activities prior to forwarding recommendations to the County Executive. A nine member Community Advisory Committee representing each of the Councilmanic districts assists and advises the Authority's Board in the development and implementation of outreach to communities where redevelopment efforts are directed.

The major programs of the Redevelopment Authority are capital projects, economic development, neighborhood reinvestment, and special projects. A description of the Redevelopment Authority activities can be found in the FY 2009 – FY 2014 Approved Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

The FY 2010 proposed budget for the Redevelopment Authority is \$539,900, a decrease of \$92,200 or 14.6% from the FY 2009 approved budget. Major changes in the FY 2010 proposed budget include:

- The Redevelopment Authority will manage property disposition and bond transactions for the Housing Authority of Prince George's County. The Authority expects to generate revenue for this service.
- The County will continue to contribute \$229,100 toward the Authority's operating revenues and will support 12 positions within the Department of Housing & Community Development's Redevelopment Division.

*Redevelopment Authority of Prince George's County
FY 2010 Proposed Budget – EF56*

	FY 2008 ACTUAL	FY 2009 BUDGET	FY 2009 ESTIMATED	FY 2010 PROPOSED	CHANGE FY09 - FY10
Beginning Fund Balance	\$ 797,514	\$ 797,514	\$ 718,839	\$ 291,098	-63.5%
Revenue					
County Grant	\$ 229,100	\$ 229,100	\$ 229,100	\$ 229,100	0.0%
Gain on sale of Property	-	-	-	-	0.0%
MD Heritage Area Authority	128,367	100,000	100,000	100,000	0.0%
Interest Income from Loans(savings)	30,899	13,800	8,500	8,500	-38.4%
Other Revenue	872	200,000	-	50,000	-75.0%
Appropriated Fund Balance	78,675	89,200	427,741	152,300	70.7%
Total Revenue	\$ 467,913	\$ 632,100	\$ 632,100	\$ 539,900	-14.6%
Expenses					
Board Expenses					
Board Member Stipends	\$ 29,300	\$ 32,000	\$ 32,000	\$ 31,900	-0.3%
Board Meeting Expenses	6,273	8,000	8,000	8,000	0.0%
Board Member Development	-	34,800	34,800	23,200	-33.3%
Total Board Expenses	\$ 35,573	\$ 74,800	\$ 74,800	\$ 63,100	-15.6%
Operating Expenses					
Professional Services - Admin.	125,447	192,500	192,500	185,000	-3.9%
Supplies/Expenses - Admin.	47,400	76,800	76,800	66,800	-13.0%
Contractual Services - Admin.	97,901	188,000	188,000	125,000	-33.5%
Maryland Heritage Area Authority Project	161,592	100,000	100,000	100,000	0.0%
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 432,340	\$ 557,300	\$ 557,300	\$ 476,800	-14.4%
Total Expenses	\$ 467,913	\$632,100	\$ 632,100	\$ 539,900	-14.6%
Ending Fund Balance	\$ 718,839	\$ 708,314	\$ 291,098	\$ 138,798	-80.4%

January 1, 2009

The Honorable Jack B. Johnson, County Executive
The Honorable Marilyn M. Bland, Chair, County Council

In accordance with Subtitle 10, Division 1A of the County Code, the Spending Affordability Committee has reviewed the preliminary projections of the County's General Fund revenue (FY 2008 Unaudited, FY 2009 Estimated, and FY 2010 Projected) and has the following findings and recommendations for FY 2010:

SUMMARY

- **The County is currently projected to experience a \$102.3 million General Fund deficit in FY 2010**, if ongoing expenditures are not reduced to meet ongoing revenues. The revenue projection has assumed 1) an overall \$35 million cut in state aid including \$15 million to the Board of Education and an extra lump-sum \$20 million to overall State aid; 2) flat housing sales prices and volumes in FY 2010; and 3) no significant further decline in the overall economy in FY 2010. The actual revenue picture could turn out to be worse if the housing market continues to decline or the economy deteriorates further in FY 2010. In such cases, the General Fund deficit could be larger.
- The County's General Fund revenue growth in FY 2009 and FY 2010 is projected to slow down significantly. **Total General Fund revenues are projected to be \$2.610 billion in FY 2009 and \$2.582 billion in FY 2010, a growth of 0.1% and a decline of 1.1%, respectively, from the previous year, compared with a growth of 7.7% in FY 2008.** Excluding Outside Aid, County Source Revenues are anticipated to decline by 0.7% in FY 2009 and grow by 1.6% in FY 2010.
- Revenues are expected to slow, reflecting declines in the overall economy. The housing market continues to deteriorate, reducing transfer and recordation taxes and construction permit fees. The economic recession is expected to continue to lower income growth, reduce employment, and increase the unemployment rate. State law changes are expected to reduce income tax receipts. Aggressive cuts to interest rates by the Federal Reserve to combat the credit crisis and the sliding economy have substantially reduced the County's investment income. Many other County-source revenues, including telecommunications tax, admission/amusement tax, and hotel/motel tax, have begun to see negative growth. The State's enormous fiscal challenges mean that State aid to the Board of Education, the Community College, the Library, and the County government is expected to receive additional cuts.
- After a mid-year cut in FY 2009, the State is still facing a potential General Fund deficit of around \$0.4 billion in FY 2009 and \$1.9 billion in FY 2010. Although the slots referendum was passed in November, it is not expected to bring substantial revenues to the State until FY

2011. With the large revenue enhancement package passed in the 2007 Special Session, the State essentially has no other choice this time to balance the budget except making significant cuts to entitlement spending, State agency expenditures, and local aid. Local aid makes up 40% of its General Fund budget, and includes aid to local governments, school boards, community colleges and libraries. Among the total local aid that the State distributes, the County receives about 17%. **The exact magnitude and targets of the cuts is not yet known. The current projection assumes an overall \$35 million State aid reduction in FY 2010, a decrease of 3.5% from the FY 2009 approved budget.**

- Due to the significant use of fund balance in recent years and a potential fiscal gap that would require additional use of fund balance in FY 2009, the County's undesignated fund balance is projected to be largely eliminated by the end of FY 2010. Therefore, in FY 2010, the County must avoid another fiscal gap that would eat into the contingency and operating reserves. **The Charter-required 5% contingency reserve and the financial policy-required 2% operating reserve must be maintained.** Any depletion of such reserves could cause bond rating downgrades by Wall Street that would jeopardize the County's fiscal integrity and borrowing capacity in the tightened credit market.
- Under the serious revenue constraints in FY 2009 and FY 2010, the County must maintain its prudent fiscal policies and take stringent measures to make sure that current expenditures stay within current revenues. In order to meet the financial challenges in FY 2009, the County has essentially exhausted all options on the revenue side including increases in taxes, fees, and intergovernmental recoveries, as well as employment of one-time revenue enhancement strategies. In order to reach a balanced budget in FY 2010, **the County must ensure that expenditures are consistent with expected revenues.**
- To balance expenditures with projected revenues, the Committee recommends **a ceiling on total General Fund appropriations for FY 2010 at \$2.582 billion, which includes \$1.632 billion for the Board of Education and \$90.7 million for debt service.**

The Committee's preliminary revenue recommendations for FY 2010 are:

(\$ in millions)

	FY 2008 Unaudited	Variance vs. FY07 Actual	FY 2009 Estimated	Variance vs. FY08 Unaudited	FY 2010 Projected Preliminary	Variance vs. FY09 Estimated
COUNTY SOURCE REVENUES						
Property Tax	609.7	11.0%	659.1	8.1%	705.1	7.0%
Income Tax Receipts	404.2	4.2%	410.5	1.6%	397.2	-3.2%
Income Disparity Grant	19.1	19.7%	21.7	13.6%	21.7	-0.1%
Transfer Taxes	98.4	-37.8%	69.9	-29.0%	69.9	0.0%
Recordation Taxes	38.4	-39.7%	28.7	-25.2%	28.7	0.0%
Energy Tax	62.7	15.4%	62.7	0.0%	62.7	0.0%
Telecommunications Tax	47.4	-5.4%	45.7	-3.5%	46.2	1.0%
Other Local Taxes	22.0	13.7%	19.6	-11.1%	20.1	2.8%
State-shared	28.8	-4.5%	27.1	-6.0%	27.6	2.0%
Subtotal Taxes	1,330.7	0.1%	1,345.0	1.1%	1,379.2	2.5%
Licenses and Permits	20.7	-24.6%	16.8	-18.8%	16.9	0.4%
Use of Money and Property	44.5	4.7%	20.1	-54.7%	14.7	-27.0%
Charges for Services	26.8	9.5%	26.2	-2.4%	28.1	7.4%
Intergovernmental Revenue	32.3	7.9%	33.3	3.1%	32.3	-2.8%
Miscellaneous	2.6	-23.6%	3.0	15.5%	3.1	5.5%
Other Financing Sources	28.9	18.5%	31.4	8.6%	25.0	-20.3%
Subtotal Other Sources	155.8	2.4%	130.8	-16.1%	120.2	-8.1%
Subtotal County Sources	1,486.5	0.4%	1,475.8	-0.7%	1,499.4	1.6%
OUTSIDE AID						
Board of Education	1,056.4	20.0%	1,064.4	0.8%	1,031.7	-3.1%
Community College	55.8	8.0%	61.5	10.1%	62.4	1.5%
Library	8.5	12.7%	8.1	-5.0%	8.1	0.0%
Subtotal Outside Aid	1,120.7	19.3%	1,134.0	1.2%	1,102.1	-2.8%
LUMPSUM STATE AID CUTS ASSUMED					(20.0)	
GRAND TOTAL	2,607.2	7.7%	2,609.8	0.1%	2,581.6	-1.1%

Note:

The numbers may not add due to rounding.

REVENUE ASSUMPTIONS

Property Tax

Despite the continuously weakening real estate market, overall property tax revenues are expected to increase by 8.1% in FY 2009 and 7.0% in FY 2010.

- **Real Property Tax** revenues are projected to maintain a relatively strong but slower growth at 7.9% in FY 2010, compared with 9.5% in FY 2009 and 12.0% in FY 2008, based on the most recent assessable base projection by the State Department of Assessments and Taxation

(SDAT). By January each year the SDAT reassesses one third of the properties in the County and the assessment growth is phased in over the next three fiscal years. The comparatively high assessment values of two thirds of County properties that were reassessed by January 2007 and January 2008 will be carried over to FY 2010. On the other hand, the assessment value of the remaining one third properties that were reassessed recently (data to be released by January 2009) have also been factored into the most recent SDAT projection and have reduced the growth of the overall County real property base.

Another reason for the continuous growth of County property tax revenues in a housing market downturn is the Homestead Tax Credit. The Credit ensures that the annual percentage growth of the taxable assessment value for principal residential homes will not surpass the growth of the Consumer Price Index. Therefore, the difference between the assessment value and the taxable value, although shrinking, leaves room for continuous taxable base growth in FY 2010.

The homestead tax credit policies do not apply to commercial properties, which comprise about 25% of the County's total taxable base. This is a major reason for the County's significant property base growth during the housing market boom. However, the ongoing declines in the value of many commercial properties, together with further declines in residential property values, are expected to bring down the growth of the property base significantly in future years.

The most recent SDAT projection indicates that the County will have an assessment growth of 20.0% for FY 2009 and 12.4% for FY 2010 in terms of the total real property base. This is before deducting the loss due to the Homestead Tax Credit.

- **The Homestead Tax Credit** is expected to result in a revenue loss of \$230.9 million in FY 2010, compared with \$195.4 million in FY 2009. (The Homestead Tax Credit percentage has been adjusted from 3% for FY 2009 to 5% for FY 2010 based on the Consumer Price Index.) The Homestead Tax Credit cap limits the County from fully realizing revenue gains from reassessment growth. The 2007 laws of Maryland (HB436) require homeowners in the State to file applications to the SDAT to qualify for the homestead property tax credit. The credit won't be granted unless an application is filed and the eligibility for such credit is confirmed. According to the implementation steps, approximately two thirds of the County's homeowners need to file applications to qualify for the FY 2010 tax credit.
- **Personal Property Tax** base of the County is projected to decrease by 1.2% in FY 2010, based on the most recent SDAT estimates. Revenues are projected to decrease accordingly. The FY 2009 base is projected to remain flat from FY 2008 but revenues are anticipated to decline slightly due to potential higher default rates and litigation costs.

Income Tax

- **Income tax receipts** are projected to increase by 1.6% in FY 2009 and decrease by 3.2% in FY 2010. This assumes a baseline growth of 1.5% and 1.0%, respectively, for FY 2009 and FY 2010, based on employment and personal income growth trends. In FY 2008, income tax

receipts increased by 4.2% with reconciliations from FY 2007, when the County experienced a tentative income tax revenue loss due to State distribution policy changes in line with the Federal extension of the tax return filing deadline. This growth is overstated based on the fact that part of the FY 2008 revenue loss due to the extended personal income exemptions will not be realized until FY 2009. Excluding the impact of distribution policy, state law, and County tax rate changes, the baseline growth for FY 2008 is around 2.0%. This represents a slowdown from previous years.

The extended personal income exemption is expected to cause significant revenue loss in FY 2009. However, the impact is not likely to be fully realized in FY 2009 as it takes time for all employers to adjust employee withholdings in accordance with the new law. In addition, the State recently decided to maintain the current distribution formula, which may lead to an over-distribution of income tax receipts to local jurisdictions in FY 2009. However, the potential over-distribution in FY 2009 will be corrected by the reconciliations in FY 2010 and following years. Therefore, County income tax receipts are projected to decrease in FY 2010.



The County reduced its income tax rate from 3.2% to 3.1% in January 2007, and raised it back to 3.2% effective January 2009.

- **The State Income Tax Disparity Grant** in FY 2010 is projected to decrease slightly from FY 2009, based upon calculations done by the State Department of Budget and Management. The disparity grant brings each jurisdiction's per capita income tax level to 75% of the State average. Due to demographic changes and lower capital gains, the County's personal income growth in recent years has been lagging behind the State average, and as a result, the County's disparity grant had been increasing in the past few years. The FY 2010 grant amount, however, is slightly lower than that of FY 2009 due to a slight decrease in County population based on the Census Bureau's estimate for July 1, 2007. (The FY 2010 grant is calculated based on tax year 2007 average local income tax revenues and calendar year 2007 local population.)

Transfer and Recordation Taxes

- Transfer Taxes are expected to decrease by 29.0% in FY 2009 and remain flat in FY 2010. Recordation taxes, which had a rate increase effective on July 1, 2008, are anticipated to decline by 25.2% in FY 2009 and remain flat in FY 2010. The projections assume an estimated 10% further decrease in home sales volume and a 10% additional decrease in home sales price for the remainder of FY 2009, and flat sales prices and volumes in FY 2010.
- The County's real estate market has been continuously weakening. In FY 2008, transfer and recordation tax revenues declined by over 38% from FY 2007. The first five months of FY 2009 experienced a further decline of about 36% from the same months in FY 2008.
- Based on the Metropolitan Regional Information System (MRIS) data, monthly sales volume for existing homes decreased year-over-year by 51% on average in FY 2008, and sales prices decreased by over 9%. The first five months of FY 2009 saw continuous declines in both

sales volume and price by another 18% and 14%, respectively. The existing home inventory in the County is over 7,000 units currently, compared with a normal level of about 1,000 units. Therefore, the local housing market is not expected to bottom out anytime soon. Further decreases in sales price and volume are expected for the rest of FY 2009 and probably part of FY 2010.



- New home sales in the County have also been weakening. According to Hanleywood Market Intelligence, in the third quarter of 2008 sales volume and prices for new single family homes fell by 42% and 16%, respectively, from the same quarter in the previous year. RealtyTrak data shows that foreclosures in the County increased by 67% in the third quarter of 2008 from a year ago.

Energy Tax

- Energy Tax revenues are projected to be largely flat from FY 2008 in both FY 2009 and FY 2010, assuming normal weather, slightly higher rates, and slightly lower consumption. The FY 2010 tax revenues are determined by the FY 2010 consumption units as well as the rates that are calculated based on Calendar Year 2008 energy prices. Effective July 1, 2008, the sale and use of energy by the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission is no longer exempt from the County's Energy Tax, which is projected to bring the County \$0.7 million additional revenues per year.

Telecommunications Tax

- The Telecommunications Tax is expected to decrease by 3.5% in FY 2009 and increase by 1.0% in FY 2010, based on the decreasing revenue trend in recent months and an assumed stabilization in FY 2010. FY 2008 shows a 5.4% revenue decrease from FY 2007 because of a \$3.1 million one-time gain in FY 2007 from prior year adjustments. Starting in FY 2005, the Telecommunications Tax revenue has factored in up to 10% dedicated for capital budget expenditures authorized by Chapter 187 of the 2004 Laws of Maryland (HB 589).

Other Local Taxes

Other Local Taxes, which include a few taxes (such as Admissions and Amusement Tax and Hotel/Motel Tax) whose performances are closely related to the economy, are projected to decrease by 11.1% in FY 2009 and increase by 2.8% in FY 2010.

- **Admissions & Amusement Tax** has been fluctuating slightly in recent years based on weather, economic conditions, and local amusement and athletic events. FY 2008 tax revenue was 11.9% higher than that of FY 2007 due to strong revenue collections from athletic events. The revenue is expected to experience a significant decrease in FY 2009 based on considerably lower-than-expected first-quarter collections. It is then expected to grow modestly by 3.0% in FY 2010.
- **Hotel/Motel Tax** is expected to decrease by 3.5% and 1.0%, respectively, in FY 2009 and FY 2010. This projection is based on the decreasing revenue collection in recent months and has factored in the short-term impact of the Presidential Inauguration. (The revenue impact of the National Harbor project is not factored in due to the dedication of hotel/motel taxes in the Special Taxing District to funding bonds issued for infrastructure and the Convention Center.)

State-Shared Taxes

- The State is projecting a General Fund deficit of about \$0.4 billion in FY 2009 and \$1.9 billion in FY 2010. This is after the Governor and the Board of Public Works' approval of a \$300 million mid-year cut package, which include a \$17 million reduction to local aid, in October 2008. Due to the projected deficit for FY 2010, the State will have to make significant cuts to State programs and local aid in FY 2010. Without knowing the magnitude

and targets of the State's cuts, the current projection tentatively assumes a \$15.0 million cut to the Board of Education (including the 1% mandated increase approved by the 2007 Special Session) and an additional lump-sum \$20 million cut to State aid in FY 2010. The actual cut could be larger than that. It could be concentrated on a few State aid revenues or be distributed to different categories such as state-shared taxes, grants to County governments, or State aid to the Board of Education, Community College and Library.

- Highway User Revenues decreased by 3.7% in FY 2008 due to reductions in gasoline consumption as prices rose. The revenue is projected to decline further by 6.0% in FY 2009 and grow modestly by 2.0% in FY 2010, based on the economic conditions. It should be noted that this projection is before factoring in the possibility that the State may reduce the local share of the revenues as part of its strategy to deal with its own financial pressures. In fact, this happened in FY 2004 when the State faced significant financial challenges.

Licenses and Permits

- License and Permit revenues are projected to drop by 18.8% in FY 2009 and grow by 0.4% in FY 2010, due to an expected continuous decrease in building and street use permits in FY 2009 and an assumed turnaround in FY 2010. Applications for residential and commercial construction permits are anticipated to be continuously weak over the next year based on slow sales and high inventory. According to the Permit Division of the County's Department of Environmental Resources, the average number of residential building permits issued per month for single family homes dropped by 30% on average in FY 2008. The first four months of FY 2009 saw an additional drop of 49% from FY 2008.

Use of Money and Property

- The receipts from Use of Money and Property are expected to drop by 54.7% in FY 2009 and 27.0% in FY 2010. Interest income, in particular, is projected to decrease by 48.4% in FY 2009 and 28.4% in FY 2010. The projection assumes that short-term interest rates will average slightly above 1% in FY 2009 and FY 2010.

Charges for Services

- Charges for Services are expected to decrease by 2.4% in FY 2009 and increase by 7.4% in FY 2010. Significant increases in emergency transportation fees are assumed due to approved rate increases and the conversion to a new collection vendor. The FY 2009 projection has factored in the approximate \$1.0 million mid-year cut to State reimbursements to the County for holding State-sentenced prisoners in County corrections facilities.

Intergovernmental Revenues

- It is anticipated that total intergovernmental revenues will grow by 3.1% in FY 2009 and decline by 2.8% in FY 2010. The increase is mainly due to increased reimbursements from the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) and from the Revenue Authority for County-provided services starting in FY 2009. The decline in FY

2010 is attributed to the removal of the \$1.1 million fire grant from General Fund to a special revenue fund starting in FY 2010. The Electricity Deregulation Grant, which used to generate \$7.5 million per year for the County, was permanently eliminated by the 2007 Special Session.

- This projection has not factored in any potential impact from additional federal aid to state and local governments due to the unknown timing, magnitude, and nature of such aid at this time.

Miscellaneous Revenues

- Miscellaneous revenues are projected to increase by 15.5% in FY 2009 and 5.5% in FY 2010. The traffic light revenue is assumed to increase in both years due to the introduction of a new vendor and new technology. There are some one-time miscellaneous receipts in FY 2008 that are not anticipated to be realized again in FY 2009 and FY 2010.

Other Financing Sources

- FY 2010 preliminary projection assumes a \$25.0 million use of fund balance. The \$27.2 million use of fund balance approved for FY 2009 includes \$8.0 million for CIP pay-go, \$12.0 million for Dimensions Health Corporation, and \$7.2 million for other one-time operating costs.

Board of Education (BOE) Aid

- Board of Education Aid is tentatively projected to decrease by 3.1% in FY 2010. This assumes a slight decline in the student enrollment number, no use of Board fund balance, and a 1% increase in Federal grants and the Board's own-source revenues (except fund balance). The actual aid amount may vary depending on the actual student enrollment number and the actual magnitude and targets of the State's cuts to local aid. Most of the information will not be available until late in January.

HB1 of the 2007 Special Session froze inflation in the per pupil amount used in the education aid formulas for FY 2009 and FY 2010. It also establishes supplemental grants that ensure a 1% annual increase in State aid for school systems during the two years of the freeze. However, due to the State's severe fiscal constraints in FY 2010, this mandate, together with others, will be reviewed. In FY 2009, the Governor funded 60% of the Geographic Cost of Education Index (GCEI) and intended to fully fund the index in FY 2010. However, this goal will also be reviewed based on the magnitude of the State's potential deficit. The risk of a reduction to the current funding level does exist. Another risk to the County in terms of education aid is that the State may consider transferring part of the teachers' pension cost to local jurisdictions. With a statewide annual liability of over \$600 million and the County's portion of approximately \$95 million, the transfer, if adopted, would pose a serious threat to the County's budget in FY 2010. On the other hand, instead of potential cuts to a few major programs, it is also possible that the State may spread cuts proportionally across the board to different programs.

\$42.9 million is approved in FY 2009 for use of BOE fund balance, although the Board is expected to reduce spending in order not to use all \$42.9 million of fund balance, in accordance with the County's \$14.0 million reduction in the County contribution. The Board is not planning to use any fund balance for FY 2010 based on the Superintendent's budget.

Community College Aid

- Community College Aid in FY 2010 is not expected to grow materially from the FY 2009 budgeted level. This assumes that there will be no Cade Formula increases due to the State's fiscal condition and that the full-time equivalent (FTE) count used in the Cade Formula does not change significantly from the FY 2009 count. No increase in tuition and fees is assumed. Revenue from the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission is expected to remain stable. The estimated revenue in FY 2009 is \$0.92 million lower than the budget due to the State's mid-year cut.

Library Aid

- Library Aid is expected to remain level in FY 2010. State Aid is not expected to increase due to the State's Fiscal condition under the assumption that the Legislature will not implement scheduled increases to the Library Aid Formula. The Library's own revenue from fees, fines, rentals and other miscellaneous revenue is expected to be constant. The Library may be required to utilize fund balance to pay about \$750,000 of OPEB expense.

EXPENDITURE SUMMARY

- The Committee recommends an overall spending ceiling of \$2.582 billion in FY 2010, which is \$91.9 million or 3.4% lower than the FY 2009 approved budget. The following are spending ceilings as defined by Sec. 10-112.22 of the County Code for FY 2010:

Board of Education

- \$1.632 billion (decrease of \$46.8 million compared with the FY 2009 budget), including \$1.032 billion outside aid (assuming no additional reduction as a share of the lump-sum \$20 million). Outside aid is projected to drop by \$32.8 million or 3.1% from the FY 2009 budget due to the assumed elimination of the 1% increase mandate, no use of Board fund balance, and an additional \$5 million miscellaneous State aid reduction. With a total outside aid of \$1.032 billion, the Board of Education is expected to receive approximately 36.8% of its support from County-Source revenues in FY 2010, compared with 36.6% in the FY 2009 approved budget. However, if the actual outside aid turns out to be lower than \$1.032 billion, the percentage for the County contribution will be higher.

Debt Service

- \$90.7 million (increase of \$19.0 million from the FY 2009 budget, or 26.5%) based on \$100 million new General Obligation Bond (GOB) to be issued in the spring of 2009 (excluding

GOB supported by mass transit and enterprise funds). The FY 2009 budget for debt service was particularly low (at \$71.7 million) because of the one-time use of additional school surcharge funds to support General Fund debt service related to school projects. This one-time approach is not expected to be realized again in FY 2010.

All Others

- \$858.7 million (a decrease of \$64.1 million from the FY 2009 budget, or -6.9%) is anticipated for all other spending. This spending category includes all General Fund support for County services and operations except for debt service and payments to the Board of Education listed in the preceding paragraphs. All funding to support these expenditures would come from various revenue sources, with the majority coming from County income and property taxes.

FUND BALANCE

- The year-end fund balance for FY 2008 is \$251.8 million, with an undesignated portion of \$65.0 million. The total fund balance amount is expected to be \$204.1 million for FY 2009 and \$179.1 million for FY 2010 (assuming the FY 2010 budget will be balanced without deficit and only \$25.0 million fund balance will be utilized for pay-go and other one-time expenses in FY 2010 and in FY 2011, respectively, which will be reserved at the end of FY 2009 and FY 2010). The following chart shows the projected breakdown between the charter-required 5% contingency reserve, the policy-required 2% operating reserve, and the undesignated fund balance (assuming a balanced budget in FY 2010).

	Actual June 30 FY 2007 Balance	Estimated June 30 FY 2008 Balance	Projected June 30 FY 2009 Balance	Projected June 30 FY 2010 Balance
GENERAL FUND				
Operating Reserve	\$ 49,013,927	\$ 53,358,776	\$ 52,649,200	\$ 51,631,400
Contingency Reserve	122,534,815	133,396,940	131,622,900	129,078,500
Undesignated Fund Balance	103,869,922	65,020,316	19,807,800	(1,630,000)
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	\$ 275,418,664	\$ 251,776,032	\$ 204,079,900	\$ 179,079,900

POTENTIAL RISKS & CHALLENGES

- Although this projection has already shown a revenue decrease of 1.1% in FY 2010, the actual revenue decline could be more severe, if the State makes larger cuts to local aid than estimated in order to close the State’s projected \$1.9 billion budget gap. The GCEI funding is considered at risk. There is also discussion about shifting the state-wide \$600 million annual cost for teachers’ pension to local governments.

- The local housing market slump could last longer than what is assumed in this projection. The current projection assumes a continuous weakening in FY 2009 scaled slightly down from the present pace and a gradual bottom-out beginning in mid FY 2010 (or late calendar year 2009). This may not actually begin until the accumulated inventory is consumed. Based on the current housing inventory and monthly sales volume, it will take one year to fifteen months for the inventory level to return to normal, which means a recovery potentially in late FY 2010 (or early to mid calendar year 2010).
- The subprime mortgage crisis and credit crunch have caused huge turmoil on Wall Street. Lenders have become more cautious about extending credit to households and businesses, which could prove a further drag on economic growth. As the crisis unfolds and extends globally, its impact on the economy could be more severe and lasting. The current projection assumes a normalization of the credit market and no further decline in the economy by mid FY 2010 (or late calendar year 2009). If the current crisis in the financial markets is not resolved, the economic recession may last longer and this assumption may no longer hold.
- The projection assumes average short-term interest rates above 1% in FY 2009 and FY 2010. There could be further downward risk based on the recent sharp declines in market interest rates.

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

- The fiscal conditions in FY 2010 will doubtlessly be one of the most challenging in the County's history. The budget is expected to drop by \$91.9 million or 3.4% from FY 2009. A potential deficit of \$102.3 million is projected prior to any actions taken to balance the budget. The County will not only face significant reductions in major County source revenue growth, but will also have to absorb part of the State's enormous fiscal deficit. Practically, the County has raised taxes and fees recently and does not have much flexibility for additional tax increases based on both statutory and economic restrictions. On the expenditure side, in order to balance the FY 2009 budget, the County has employed many one-time cost-saving approaches, which cannot be repeated in FY 2010. The County nevertheless must maintain its fiscal accountability under these difficult fiscal circumstances.
- The Committee would recommend the County continue to preserve the Charter required 5% contingency reserve and the financial policy required 2% operating reserve in FY 2010. Depletion of such reserves could possibly cause bond rating downgrades by Wall Street, jeopardizing the County's fiscal integrity and borrowing capacity in a tight credit market. Due to the significant use of fund balance in recent years and a potential fiscal gap that would require further use of fund balance in FY 2009, the County's undesignated fund balance is expected to be eliminated by the end of FY 2010. Therefore, it is critical for the County to make sure that the FY 2010 budget will remain in balance throughout the fiscal year without incurring an additional budget gap that could eat into the operating reserve. In addition, the County is still faced with many long-term liabilities such as the Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) (fully fund retiree benefits with a total liability of \$1 billion and eventually a yearly contribution of approximately \$80 million based on the requirements

of the Government Accounting Standards Board), the risk management fund deficit, as well as potential mandates from the State as they attempt to resolve their own budget deficit.

- Due to the serious revenue constraints in FY 2009 and FY 2010, the Committee again urges the County to explore all options for assuring that spending stays strictly within the revenue limits.
- The Committee suggests that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) monitor and analyze revenues and expenditures closely on a regular basis and prepare monthly reports for County leadership's review. It is critical to make timely adjustments to revenue and expenditure projections so that additional control mechanisms can be introduced as needed.
- Under significant fiscal constraints and with competing demands for services, the Committee recommends fully utilizing and improving the County's performance management system entitled Charter for Change to realize savings and improve operational efficiency. This is important within the current fiscal environment because the performance management system focuses agency services on achieving specific outcomes which may mean the removal or reduction of services that do not positively affect their outcomes. It also provides an evaluation tool to facilitate more efficient and effective service delivery and allows for prioritization of services. In addition, the County has implemented in FY 2009 a gain-sharing Cost Savings and Incentive Program (CSIP). The program is expected to reduce agency costs through systemic changes that improve efficiency, reduce resource needs, and maintain or improve agency performance.


The Committee wishes to thank both the Executive and Legislative Branches of government for the opportunity to review the County's revenue forecast. We believe that we have performed due diligence in reviewing revenue estimates for FY 2009 and FY 2010 and believe them to be reasonable.

Respectfully,

Tanya R. Curtis

Norman Carter

Barbara K. Atrostic



The image shows three handwritten signatures, each written over a horizontal line. The top signature is cursive and appears to be 'Tanya R. Curtis'. The middle signature is also cursive and appears to be 'Norman Carter'. The bottom signature is cursive and appears to be 'Barbara K. Atrostic'.